

Study area and seismic stations

Our study area is the Eastern Alps-Carpathian-Pannonian Basin region, where a dense seismological network allows a detailed analysis of the crust mantle boundary. The Pannonian Basin is a geologically complex extensional back-arc basin in Central Europe (Fig. 1.). The Moho discontinuity is generally at shallow depth (20-35 km) in the Pannonian 48° basin as a result of a Miocene extensional event (Horváth et al. 2006). However, the Eastern Alps and Carpathians are characterised by deeper Moho (35-45 km) and a more complex lithospheric structure. Due to the relatively sparse seismological network, previous receiver function studies (Hetényi and Bus, 2007; Hetényi et al. 2015) used fewer stations than this 46° study. However in recent years the permanent networks became denser, and several temporary deployment campaigns, such as the Carpathian Basin Project (CBP), the South Carpathian Project (SCP) and the AlpArray experiment (Hetényi et al. 2018a) took place. Thus, we used the data of 221 seismological stations for the receiver function analysis.

Event selection

Georisk stations For the receiver function analysis we considered teleseismic earthquakes between 28°-95° epicentral distances and magnitudes larger than 5.5 Figure 1. Investigated area, the seismic stations used in this study. Colours triangles (Fig. 2.). We downloaded the broadband three-component waveforms of shows different type of seismological stations. PB1 and PB2 mark the locations of these events recorded at the stations in Fig. 1. and filtered them between migrated cross-sections. Abbreviations: DI- Dinarides; EA- Eastern Alps; EC- Eastern 0.1 and 1 Hz. In this study we used 3 year 3 months of data from the Carpathians; SC- Southern Carpathians; WC - Western Carpathians. AlpArray temporary network and 2 years data from CBP and SCP **Receiver function analysis** stations. For the Hungarian and other permanent stations we used all available data since they entered into operations up until March 31 2019.



3098 events, Depth [km]

Figure 2. Location of the 3098 earthquakes used in the receiver function analysis. Green star shows location of the Pannonian Basin.

Quality control

We applied three quality control methods. The first (QC1) was an STA /LTA detector with detection threshold 3.5. The second (QC2) was performed in time window 30 s before and 90 s after the first-arriving P-wave. Waveforms with a signal-to-noise ratio above threshold were accepted (Hetényi et al. 2018b). The last quality control method (QC3) was Figure 3. Stacked receiver functions at stations along the PB2 profile. performed on calculated receiver functions. Receiver functions were rejected as poor quality if the P peak below threshold. We tried to keep only the best receiver functions for the further processing methods.

Downloaded waveforms	After QC1	After QC2	After QC3
454.089	240.828	171.255	31.260
Table 1. Number of waveforms after downloading, QC1, QC2 and QC3 at all dataset.			



